Under the Paperwork Reduction	on Act of 1995	no person are re	auired to	respond to	S. Pater	t and Trade	oved for use through mark Office; U.S. DE ation unless it display:	PARTMENT	OF COMMERCE	
		no polosii are re	quii ou io	i copona to			mplete if Know			
Effective on 12/08/2004. Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818). FEE TRANSMITTAL				Application Number 10/550,373-Co				onf. #796	1	
				Filing Date			June 28, 2006			
			First Named Inventor			Adalbert Roehrig				
For FY 2008					r Name		K. Y. Lin			
Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27							1725			
TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 1,740.00					Docket	No.	02482/0203338-US0			
METHOD OF PAYMENT	(check all th	at apply)								
Check X Credit Card Money Order None Other (please identify):										
Deposit Account Deposit Account Number: 04-0100 Deposit Account Name: Darby & Darby P.C.										
For the above-identifi	ed deposit a	ccount, the Di	irector is	hereby a	uthoriz	ed to: (che	eck all that apply)			
Charge fee(s) in	Charge fee(s) indicated below Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee									
X Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17										
FEE CALCULATION	OF IC 1.10 a	iiu 1. [/								
1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH,	AND EXAM	INATION FEE	s							
		FEES	SE.	ARCH F		EXAM	NATION FEES			
Application Type	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$		Entity (\$)	Fee (\$	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fees	Paid (\$)	
Utility	310	155	510		55	210	105	1 000	1 014 147	
Design	210	105	100	, -	50	130	65			
Plant	210	105	310		55	160	80			
Reissue	310	155	510	-	55	620	310			
Provisional	210	105	0.0	_	0	0	0			
2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES					•	•	•		Small Entity	
Fee Description Each claim over 20 (including	n Paiconac)							Fee (\$) 50	Fee (\$) 25	
Each independent claim over								210	105	
Multiple dependent claims) (including	g (Cissues)						370	185	
							Aultiple Depende			
19 -20 = Extra Claims Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)					Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)					
HP = highest number of total claim		eater than 20.	-		_	-		oo i aid	2 1	
Indep. Claims Extra Cl		e (\$)	Fee I	Paid (\$)	_					
2 -3 = HP = highest number of independe		for, if greater than	1 3.		_					
3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE										
If the specification and draw										
listings under 37 CFR 1.: sheets or fraction thereof						for small	entity) for each a	dditional 5	50	
sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s). Total Sheets Extra Sheets Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof Fee (\$) Fee Paid (\$)										
- 100 = /50 = (round up to a whole number) x =										
4. OTHER FEE(S) Fees Paid (\$)										
Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)										
Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1501 Utility issue fee 1,440.00 1504 Publication fee for early, voluntary, or normal 300.00										
SUBMITTED BY / 7		77				_				
Signature	<u> </u>	/	$\overline{}$	Registratio		43.040	Telephone	(212) 52	77-7700	

SUBMITTED BY	- /	/ 	4		Registration No.	40.040		(0.10) -0- 7700
Signature	/ ^	\sim		$\underline{\sim}$	(Attorney/Agent)	43,040	Telephone	(212) 527-7700
Name (Print/Type)	Kevin L	Reiner					Date	August 5, 2008

Docket No.: 02482/0203338-US0 (PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Adalbert Roehrig et al.

Application No.: 10/550.373 Confirmation No.: 7961

Filed: June 28, 2006 Art Unit: 1725

For: TUBULAR MOULD FOR CONTINUOUS Examiner: K. Y. Lin

CASTING

COMMENTS ON EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

MS Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicants submit the following comments on the Examiner's Amendment and Interview Summary accompanying the Notice of Allowability dated July 8, 2008 and mailed July 16, 2008.

The Interview Summary implies that Applicants' attorney agreed during the telephonic interview that the Examiner's amendment was necessary to define over the prior art references of record. While Applicants' attorney did agree to the amendment, he did not agree that the amendment was necessary for patentability.

As Applicants stated in their "Response to Final Office Action Mailed January 11, 2008," filed May 12, 2008, it was Applicants' position that the claims were patentable over the cited art. Claims 1 and 2 as they existed prior to the Examiner's Amendment recited "supporting ribs" that, as also recited, with the supporting shell or supporting plates prevent transverse movement of the copper tube. Therefore, from Applicants' perspective, the Examiner's Amendment merely expressly recited the existing structure of the supporting shell.

Docket No.: 02482/0203338-US0

Applicant(s): Adalbert **Roehrig** et al Serial No.: 10/550,373 Confirmation No.: 7961 Group Art Unit: 1725

Filed: June 28, 2006 Examiner: Kuang Y. Lin

Dated: August 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By /Kevin L. Reiner/

Kevin L. Reiner Registration No.: 43,040 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. Box 770 Church Street Station

New York, New York 10008-0770 (212) 527-7700 (212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant